

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

LIDIYA IVANOVNA KRUTINA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JENNIFER B. HIGGINS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-0213-RSM

ORDER TO HOLD CASE IN
ABEYANCE

This matter comes before the Court on the parties Stipulated Motion. Dkt. #4. Plaintiff brought this litigation pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act and Mandamus Act seeking, *inter alia*, to compel the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”) to adjudicate his Form I-589, Application for Asylum. The parties are currently working towards a resolution to this litigation. For good cause, the parties request that the Court continue to hold the case in abeyance until August 12, 2025.

Courts have “broad discretion” to stay proceedings. *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681, 706 (1997). “[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for

1 counsel, and for litigants.” *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936); *see also* Fed. R. Civ.
2 P. 1.

3 With additional time, this case may be resolved without the need of further judicial
4 intervention. USCIS has scheduled Plaintiff’s asylum interview for June 12, 2025. After the
5 interview, USCIS will need time to adjudicate her asylum application. Once that happens,
6 Plaintiff will dismiss this case.

7 Accordingly, the Court hereby FINDS and ORDERS that the case is held in abeyance
8 until August 12, 2025. The parties shall submit a joint status report on or before August 12,
9 2025.

10 DATED this 24th day of April, 2025.

11
12 

13 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24